

SHORNE PARISH COUNCIL

**Minutes of the Parish Planning & Highways Committee Meeting held on
26th May 2022 in Shorne Village Hall**

PRESENT

Mrs S Lindley (Chairman)
Mr Bugg (Vice Chair)
Mr R Theobald
Mr R Hardy
Mr R Lane
Mrs L McCluskey

APOLOGIES

Mr C Rea
Ms P Clifton

In attendance: No Parishioners attended

1. To receive any declarations of interest for any items on the agenda

Mr Theobald declared a personal interest with regards to agenda item 6 in that 10 Warren View is one of his neighbours. However, this does not influence his view, and he is not precluded from taking part in the discussion and decision-making process.

2. Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on 10th March 2022

The minutes had previously been circulated, these were proposed by Mr Lane and seconded by Mr Theobald, and all present approved.

3. Any Matters Arising from Minutes not covered in the Agenda.

The assessment of past planning application decisions needed to inform the letter to Wendy Lane at Gravesham Borough Council is still in progress, Mrs Lindley will try to get this done before the next meeting.

Planning:

4. Report of Action taken under Standing Order 4(a)(ii), Schedule of Planning Applications dealt with by the Chairman in Consultation with Members (this was previously circulated) – All were approved by members. Since then six more applications have been received, including for Starwood, and as below.

5. Planning Applications of note and Gravesham Borough Councils decisions:

- a) See Schedule, and Table (GBC decisions updated) in previous minutes
- b) 20220536 Land near Swillers Lane – proposed Telecommunications Mast
- c) 20210970 New Green Farm, Lower Higham Road – the proposal for a new house has been refused.
- d) 20220115 Danver Lodge, Pear Tree Lane – proposal for a triple garage near road has been refused.
- e) 20220116 Tanners Grange, Tanyard Hill – east side extension, this has been refused, however they have now applied for a lawful development certificate.

- f) 20220130 Thong Lodge, Thong Lane – stables annexe (3rd Application), this has been refused.
- g) 20220225 8 St Katherines Cottages, Forge Lane – this application for a garage (second application but different design) has been withdrawn.

6. Gravesham Borough Council enforcement updates:

- a) **16 Warren View** – a lot of engineering work, with land levels changing. Planning enforcement has inspected however had no concerns, works continue.
- b) **Shorne Mead, Pear Tree Lane** – this backs onto Court Wood, there have been massive engineering works undertaken, work is still continuing despite Enforcement being in touch with them repeatedly. Most recently the owner has again said that they will be submitting an application soon but this is still awaited. The enforcement officers had taken photographs previously.
- c) **Merrievale, Pear Tree Lane** – There was concern from residents about engineering works, but the levels had not been increased significantly.
- d) **Midfields, Gravesend Road** – A parishioner has been in touch having raised concerns with Gravesham Borough Council over modifications to the garage.

Mrs Lindley is not aware of any other updates received since last meeting.

Mr Lane has sent around to all members the Gravesham Borough Council published Planning Enforcement guidelines.

8 Notices of Appeal:

- a) **Courtlands, Gravesend Road** – Appeal lodged 29th March, to have an Informal Hearing regarding the replacement house. We await to hear further.
- b) **Fairwinds (Shornebury), Pear Tree Lane** – This was greatly extended in 2012 (Ref 20120315) and a condition was attached that they were unable to make changes to the roof without planning consent. The owner applied to Gravesham to have the Condition removed which was refused but then allowed on Appeal.

9. Major Plans etc affecting the Parish:

- a) **Local Government Boundary Review outcome published** – The “Higham and Shorne” electoral ward has been confirmed, final general and voting arrangements have not yet been decided.
- b) **Lower Thames Crossing Local Refinement Consultation** - This was launched on 12th May, and closes on the 20th June, a local information event was held on Friday 20th May at Cascades and another (amongst others) will be held on Friday 10th June at Shorne Village Hall, 14.00-20.00

10. Outside Parish but with impact:

- a) **The London Resort** – The Development Consent Order application was withdrawn on 29th March.
- b) **Medway Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF)** – A Phase 2 consultation (online survey) on parts of the Medway HIF proposals is expected to start on 13th June for 6 weeks – it includes updates on proposed Community Parklands, and road layout changes. They are proposing to introduce traffic lights on the Wainscott bypass with

a new junction at Islingham Farm Road, between the Higham Road overbridge and the Four Elms roundabout (*information corrected from that discussed at the meeting as is beyond the B2000*).

Highways:

11. Accident reports:

- a) **1st April 2022** - There were reports that a car was on its side at the Thong Lane end of Shorne Ifield Road, which caused the road to be blocked, we do not have any further information as to whether other vehicles were involved, any injuries etc.
- b) **25th April 2022** – A complaint was received from a local resident who had been cycling but it was about matters outside our responsibility (poor driving by white van at Shorne Crossroads, poor positioning of a roadworks signs on the A226 creating a hazard, subsequently moved).

12. Traffic monitoring:

- a) **Speedwatch and SID data:** Ms Clifton previously circulated the results of the Speedwatch sessions that were carried out: 10th March where 6 were recorded exceeding 35mph but not exceeding 40mph; 17th March when 7 were recorded exceeding 35mph but not exceeding 40mph letters have been sent out; and 27th April but no vehicles were recorded exceeding 34mph. The Speed Indicator Device was moved to Tanyard Hill on 26th March, for traffic facing south (uphill). Mr Lane has circulated to members the data that the SID is producing.
- b) **Lorrywatch:** Ongoing issues with lorries using Green Farm Lane (including RS Skips). During the Lower Higham Road gas works, the contractors had not produced signs to say this road was not suitable for lorries. This has also been a problem when the Lower Thames Crossing Group signposted to use Green Farm Lane. We are not receiving the standard advance notices about diversion routes so cannot intervene in advance. Mr Lane had contacted the Gas Company asking for them to improve signage to make it clear that Green Farm Lane is unsuitable for HGVs but unfortunately they produced a sign suggesting that traffic should instead use School Lane in Higham which although wider is also not an approved HGV route, the No HGC signs in Green Farm Lane were replaced within 48 hours on the 12th May 2022.

13. Road condition/highways issues and hazards:

- a) **Tanyard Hill/Chestnut Green** – this was partly resurfaced but there was a problem due to a parked car impeding the works, Mrs Lindley has reported back to KCC now that the vehicle has been removed.
- b) **Forecourt of shops** – Mr Lane has managed to make contact with the Managing Director at Caxtons but we are still awaiting to hear about repairs.
- c) **Forge Lane near Pipes Place** – There was a pothole around a manhole cover, which appeared to get deeper rapidly over a few days so was reported again as an emergency, after the second report it was fixed quite quickly.
- d) **A2 T junction with Brewers Road** – Mrs Lindley reported this to National Highways through the website yet again as the potholes were very deep and dangerous, one pothole subsequently had a temporary repair.

- e) **Refuse bins obstructing traffic, The Street** - There had been reports of the bins being placed obstructing traffic and parking on The Street. The bins concerned look like they belong to the Café or the flat above. Mrs Lindley will collect more evidence and write to them asking them to keep the bins on their own land rather than the roadside.
- f) **Residents removing 30mph repeater signs** – There have been two instances of repeater signs being removed during building work – at Greenacres, Woodlands Lane and Daymer, Green Farm Lane. Mrs Lindley had reported these to KCC Highways and Mr Lane also raised the matter at the highways meeting.

14. Parking/traffic problems, Waiting restrictions and Highway modifications:

We had been made aware that the Church and a resident of the approach lane are proposing to install yellow lining and to restrict parking in the area due to problems with access being obstructed by parked vehicles. Deeds had been checked and also a query raised with KCC over maintenance. The approach lane from Butchers Hill belongs to the Church and is a private access not maintained by KCC, although there are no signs saying that it is private. As such the landowner can install private lining but nevertheless this causes concern about parking being sent elsewhere, as this is already a problem in the area and will be made worse.

15. Feedback from KCC Highways:

- a) **GBC:KCC Joint Transport Board** – There was a meeting on 24th May, but no one attended as Mr Lane has resigned from this post. GBC sent a notice of the meeting but without any papers or links to them, these were only provided after Mrs Lindley e-mailed back to the secretary. GBC have now said that it is not a public meeting, so the meeting dates and documents are not available on the GBC website. Although they have said that Parish Councillors are welcome to attend, this is not really being facilitated.
- b) **KCC Highways and HIP (Highways Improvement Plan)** – Mrs Lindley has updated the HIP document as previously circulated to members. Mrs Lindley and Mr Lane attended the virtual meeting which was held 19th April, the next one has not been scheduled. Mrs Lindley reported that we are still pressing for the 20MPH Zone through the Village, and a design, KCC had confirmed that we can go ahead with the preliminary consultation. Mr Lane has drafted a consultation proforma, this was approved by members (Proposed by Mr Theobald and Seconded by Mr Hardy), Mrs Poole will print them and then Mr Lane will get them sent out with the Annual report. Mrs Lindley reported that KCC have very little funding but it is in several different pots, there is funding you can bid for which comes out in about September/October for schemes that are over £10k in value, however it quite hard to find schemes that are worthwhile doing and which will not have a lower safety priority than elsewhere in Kent. Mrs Lindley had asked KCC about possible improvements to discourage speeding in Thong Lane, KCC stated that cameras cannot be installed unless there are significant safety problems. They have acknowledged that over 85% of vehicles are speeding to some degree in this area. Mr Lane reported that Mr Gore has said he will look at the existing traffic calming in Thong to see if this needs refreshing.

- c) **Woodlands Lane resurfacing** – KCC are not happy with the quality of the work so it may be redone as it is now a bit bumpy. They have redone some of the painting on the edges.
- d) **White lining** – Mrs Lindley had asked for a variety of white lines to be refreshed but they have been done piecemeal and in some cases with quite poor quality work. In Forge Lane a centre line has been painted round the sharp corner where the road is too narrow for two lanes so creating a safety hazard. Although acknowledging this to be wrong KCC will not remove it again, they say that the contractor insists that they did not paint the lines (even though they appeared at the same time as other work), KCC claim that there are “rogue” contractors around who may have done this work but members considered this explanation to be unlikely.
- e) **New PCSO’s** Mrs Lindley is unsure who is looking after our area as we had an email from a lady called Kirsty but she has not been in contact since, at the recent Pop Up café Simon Mummery and colleague attended. Simon has told us that he is leaving. Mr Lane has circulated information about a Police Liaison meeting in June.

Consultations:

16. Open Consultations:

National Highways, Lower Thames Crossing “Local Refinement Consultation, closes 20th June 2022 <https://ltcconsultation2022.nationalhighways.co.uk/>

17. Closed consultations/for information: None to report

Any other business:

18. Matters raised by Members

- a) **Parish Council Insurance renewal** – Mrs Poole has previously circulated to members the quotes that we have received, it was decided to take up a 3 year deal from Zurich, this was proposed by Mr Lane and seconded by Mr Theobald, all Agreed.
- b) **Jubilee Events update** – Mrs McCluskey reported that Mrs Poole, Mrs Lindley and herself tested the beacon on Tuesday at the Common, as well as the Sound System with the Music, with the electricity supplied kindly from the Scouts. Mrs Poole has started the heavy social media campaign. Mrs Poole could not get a volunteer to play the Bugle, so the music will now just come from the sound system, Mrs McCluskey has bought connecting leads so the music can be played from her phone. Mrs McCluskey reported that after the Rose and Crown let us down Mrs Poole within an hour had secured another company (Mane Bar) to provide a full bar service. 208 Jubilee Mugs have been delivered to Shorne School this week and the children have now received them and are very grateful. Mrs McCluskey also reported that the uptake of Parishioners wanting a mug is very good. Mrs McCluskey confirmed that the committee will go back to Bookers to get the food next week. Mrs McCluskey confirmed that the bunting that Mrs Poole has made specifically for the event is going to be put up by Mr Ben Donovan on Tuesday or Wednesday next week, Mrs McCluskey asked if Mr Theobald would read out the official Proclamation which he dully agreed. Mrs McCluskey reported that the road closures signs have also been delivered.

- c) Mrs Poole and Mrs Lindley will write letters to two residents in The Street as cones are still outside one property on the roadside by the historic wall for unknown reasons, and shrubs are pushing the wall out of alignment.

19. Matters raised by attending Parishioners - None attending

Date of next Parish Planning & Highways meeting – Thursday 14th July 2022 at 19.30

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 20.44

Signed Mrs Lindley:..... Dated:.....

PLANNING REPRESENTATIONS SINCE 5th March 2022:

Ref	Address	Description	SPC Submission
20220193 Permitted	Broadeaves, Bowesden Lane, Shorne, Gravesend Kent DA12 3LA	Erection of part single story part two story extension.	<p>The Parish Council has no objection in principle to the proposal subject to there not being any significant valid objections from neighbours.</p> <p>We note that the proposed ground floor, single-storey rear extension extends laterally beyond the existing side wall of the house.</p> <p>At the time of writing there is no “proposed elevations” plan available. For the new first-floor bedroom on the eastern elevation, there appears to be a window proposed on the east elevation as well as a new window on the south (front) elevation. There seems to be about 20m distance to the eastern boundary to the neighbouring property (Heron Gate) and the total distance between buildings is a little more than the minimum standard privacy distance of 26m however consideration might be given to modifying this east side window (e.g. with privacy glazing) or omitting it completely and instead enlarging the south window of the same room.</p> <p>There should be enough parking provision on-site to support the increase in the number of bedrooms from four to six.</p> <p>The plans do not include any landscaping in the garden areas or any removal of trees. Any relevant mature trees should be protected with Tree Preservation Orders.</p> <p>In view of the amount of enlargement of the house that is proposed we suggest that permitted development rights should be withdrawn for the site. (Sent 15/3/22)</p>
20220171 Permitted	The Old Dairy, Thong Lane, Shorne, Gravesend Kent DA12 4AD	Erection of a single storey side extension.	<p>The Parish Council has no objection in principle to the proposal subject to there not being any significant valid objections from neighbours.</p> <p>It is noted that no alterations to the gardens are indicated/proposed. (Sent 15/3/22)</p>
20220225 Withdrawn	8 St Katherines Cottages , Forge Lane, Shorne, Gravesend Kent DA12 3DR	New detached garage with under build workshop and cycle/bin store along-side	<p>This is a re-application with a different design subsequent to the refusal of application ref 20210623.</p> <p>The Parish Council has some concerns including about the proposed use of the building and whether appropriate within a residential curtilage in a Conservation Area.</p> <p>The garage as now proposed is located further back on the site and is only single width at the front so is less bulky when viewed from the roadway however it will have some landscape impact.</p> <p>Layout: The layout provides two tandem parking spaces within the garage and probably two spaces as open air parking. Very little rear garden/private amenity space will remain.</p> <p>The garage structure is located right on the boundary on two sides, which will mean that digging out would encroach on neighbouring properties, which will need to be protected from subsidence during</p>

			<p>excavation.</p> <p>Design and ventilation: At the rear of the garage a wider section provides stairs down to an excavated full size room under the garage. This wider area is also said to be a bin and cycle store but access needs will limit its usefulness.</p> <p>The basement room appears a little larger than the above ground structure. It includes a full-length “ventilation void” which is unusual and raises questions about fire safety, what work will be undertaken, how air will be supplied and extracted, and whether there will be a problem of odours/chemicals outside of the building.</p> <p>Fire safety: The external single door on the north-east elevation should probably open outwards to ensure fire safety. There may need to be fire doors at both the bottom and top of the stairs.</p> <p>The full-length ventilation void and proposed escape hatch are unusual features, expert advice is needed about these and whether there needs to be a fixed ladder. The escape hatch will only be useable in the absence of there being a vehicle parked.</p> <p>Services, Lighting: No services are shown to the building, electricity supply only is assumed. No exterior lighting is shown.</p> <p>Suggested Conditions: Standard Conditions should be attached specifying that the garage is an annexe to the house, is not residential in terms of providing sleeping accommodation, and cannot become a separate dwelling or be used to operate a business.</p> <p>(Sent 28/3/22)</p>
20220227 Permitted	Rose Cottage , Pear Tree Lane, Shorne, Gravesend Kent DA12 3JZ	Erection of single storey rear extension and pergola.	<p>The Parish Council has no objection in principle to the proposal subject to there not being any significant valid objections from neighbours.</p> <p>We note that there is a structure in the garden, near to the house, which is shown on the existing and proposed ground floor and first floor plans but not on the site layout plans.</p> <p>The materials and colour of the proposed cladding are not stated on the plans, the colour in particular needs to be clarified, and acceptability confirmed, before permission is granted.</p> <p>The plans do not include any landscaping in the garden areas or any removal of trees. Any relevant mature trees should be protected with Tree Preservation Orders.</p> <p>(Sent 23/3/22)</p>
20220237 Prior approval not required	High Ridge Pear Tree Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent DA12 3JU	Single storey rear extension with a depth of 6.00 metres, maximum height of 4.00 metres and eaves height of 2.95 metres.	<p>The Parish Council has no objection in principle to this proposal, provided that there are not any significant objections from neighbours, but has the following comments:</p> <p>We note previous application 20211219 for a side extension which was permitted, it is not clear whether the applicant proposes to implement both applications (if this one is permitted) or whether this application supersedes the previous one.</p> <p>In view of the considerable total extension that may be made to the property we request that permitted</p>

			development rights should be withdrawn for the whole site. (Sent 28/3/22)
20220249 Permitted	Bucklebury Pear Tree Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent DA12 3JS	Proposed external appearance upgrade including roof covering replacement, guttering replacement, and windows replacement, Front elevation aesthetical alterations involving the installation of new reconstituted stone quoins; new reconstituted stone window heads; and replacement of existing entrance porch, enlargement of some of the existing windows, provision of 2no. of new windows, removal of 1 pair of French doors, removal of side entrance door and replacement oak front porch on the front elevation.	The Parish Council has no objection in principle to this proposal, provided that there are not any significant objections from neighbours. (Sent 28/3/22)
20220266 and 20220275 Listed Building Consent Permitted	The Old Parsonage Butchers Hill Shorne Gravesend Kent DA12 3EB	Demolition of the existing conservatory and replacement with an orangery; also demolition of the timber garage on the north side of the house and construction of a kitchen extension.	The Old Parsonage is a large period residence in extensive grounds. It is largely hidden from view, by existing trees and vegetation, but we are uncertain of the extent to which it can be seen from other properties on high ground. We were unable to find on the GBC website the previous planning applications relating to the Conservatory and the "Pool House" (a two storey structure with residential accommodation over) as referenced in the planning statement as dating from 1987, or for the attached timber garage. The applicant informed us in advance that this planning application was likely to be submitted, but drawings for review were not provided otherwise our input would have been made earlier in the process.

			<p>The application involves two different proposals and structures:</p> <p>1) Replacement conservatory on south side of house: It is understood from the accompanying documents that the presently proposed design is conceptual and not finalised pending structural loading considerations. The connection to the “Pool house” building is complex so, overall, redesign could be beneficial. The structure as presently proposed is uncompromisingly ultra-modern and greatly out of keeping with the character of the existing buildings. The Parish Council would prefer the design to be toned down and not to be such a stark contrast. A more sympathetic design that combines traditional and more visually compatible elements with the modern, at least on the east/front elevation, would be preferable. The Parish Council has no objection to the principle of the conservatory being replaced but at the present time has to object to the proposed design of the replacement conservatory for the reasons as given above. As the design is not finalised, any permission granted for this structure should be outline only with all matters reserved. If permitted, maintenance of the visual screening trees and vegetation should be required by Condition.</p> <p>2) Kitchen extension on north side of house: This is in a style that is compatible with the main house and shows subsidiarity, although sash windows might be preferable so as to match those on the frontage of the main building. The Parish Council otherwise has no objection to this part of the proposal. (Sent 29/3/22)</p>
20220350 Permitted	The Hendleys, The Ridgeway, Shorne, Gravesend Kent DA12 3LN	Demolition of the existing conservatory and erection of a single storey rear extension with a pitched roof and rooflights.	<p>This property is located in the Chestnut Green Conservation Area however this proposal, a partial reapplication of permission Ref 20211394, is at the rear of the property. The proposal redesigns and slightly enlarges the previously permitted rear, single storey extension. The Parish Council has no objection in principle to the proposal subject to there not being any valid significant objections from neighbours. In that context we note that the new proposal introduces an additional side window that is further to the rear and closer to the boundary with the neighbouring property than was previously the case. This could impact on the privacy of the neighbouring property - obscure glazed glass on side windows is normally recommended in that circumstance. (Sent 26/4/22)</p>
20220377 Permitted	10 Warren View, Shorne, Gravesend, Kent	Demolition of existing conservatory and erection of a single storey rear	<p>This property is located within the Warren View area of traditional styled “Billings” built houses, of which their brick structure and hanging tile frontages are an important and valued local architectural feature of the streetscene. The property is in a dominant position on the highest part of the estate so is visible to</p>

	<p>DA12 3EJ</p>	<p>extension, front porch with alteration of the steps up to the porch, Replacement of the existing tile hanging to the front elevation and cladding of the rear elevation with black vertical boarding. Alteration to the existing patio to increase the width.</p>	<p>other properties in the area. This proposal has several parts, and the Parish Council has the following comments on the submitted plans and works already undertaken:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Demolition of existing conservatory and erection of a single storey rear extension: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Parish Council has no objection in principle to this part of the application subject to there not being any valid objections from neighbouring properties. 2. Front porch with alteration of the steps up to the porch: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The front steps currently are accessed from the left side, this is being changed to the right. A small section of path from the parking area will be needed to enable access but this is not shown on the plans. • No changes are shown to the extent of the hardstanding area. • The front porch is proposed to have a sloping glass roof. It is proposed that the base would be in blue-black engineering bricks and the walls are to have black vertical cladding. The Parish Council OBJECTS to this aspect of the proposals which, together with other proposed changes (see next point), would have a physically dominating, negative impact on the streetscene. Brick to match the existing house would be preferable, or rendering in a light shade between white and cream. 3. Replacement of the existing tile hanging to the front elevation and cladding of the rear elevation with black vertical boarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Parish Council OBJECTS to the proposal for black cladding on the front elevation of the property, which appears to be a purely cosmetic change as there does not seem to be any physical reason why the hanging tiles need to be changed. This aspect of the proposals, together with the proposal for similar cladding on the new porch, would have a physically dominating, negative impact on the streetscene and also landscape impact to the village. • The Parish Council has no objection in principle to the use of black cladding on the new conservatory and adjacent ground floor wall at the rear of the property, see point 1. 4. Alteration to the existing patio to increase the width: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Parish Council has no objection to this part of the proposals. • It is noted that otherwise no engineering works are proposed in the rear garden. 5. Alterations already made: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We note that the application form (but not the plans) refers to existing black window frames, we understand these were replaced by the applicant, these also have an impact on the streetscene. • The front garden of the property has been completely paved, which was not shown on the plans, and the front steps have also already been altered. <p>In Summary:</p>
--	-----------------	--	---

			The Parish Council OBJECTS to the proposals to use dark materials and black cladding on the front elevation of the property as these will be out of character with the area and would have a physically dominating and negative impact on the streetscene, and also landscape impact to the village. The hanging tiles should be retained, and brick to match the house or rendering of a light colour between white and cream would be preferable for the porch. (Sent 2/5/22)
20220415 Permitted	83 Astra Drive, Gravesend, Kent, DA12 4PZ	Demolition of the existing rear WC and part of the existing garage and construct a single storey rear and part two storey rear extension and loft conversion.	The Parish Council has no objection in principle to this conversion as there have been similar already undertaken in the area, provided that there are not any significant valid objections from neighbours. We note that the flat roof of the loft conversion is shown as being subservient to the main roof. (Sent 12/5)
20220446 Pending	Old Post Office , The Street, Shorne, Gravesend Kent DA12 3EA	Remove cement render, inspect lateral movement, repair, re-render in lime.	The Parish Council has no objection to this proposal. The request for any Conditions to be flexible enough to be responsive to the potential findings and suit the required timescales and types of the remedial work is noted and supported. The Parish Council would like for original materials to be retained and re-used/reinstated as much as possible, bearing in mind structural compatibilities and avoidance of excessive costs. (Sent 12/5)
20220457 Refused	10 St Katherines Cottages, Forge Lane, Shorne, Gravesend Kent DA12 3DR	Erection of a single story rear extension and a two storey side extension.	Save for the addition of one more velux window on the rear ground floor extension, the plans appear unchanged from Application Ref 20211162, which was refused permission. Accordingly, the Parish Council repeats our previous comments, as follow: ""The Parish Council has NO OBJECTION IN PRINCIPLE to this proposal, subject to there not being significant valid objections from neighbours. The proposed appearance is an improvement on the existing as it will better complement the original house and the Conservation Area. Windows of bathrooms/toilets should have obscured glazing and only be openable above 2m, to ensure privacy."" (Sent 23/5/22)
20220461 Prior approval not required	Robin Hill Bowesden Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent DA12 3LA	Single storey rear extension with a depth of 6.26 metres, maximum height of 4.00 metres and eaves height of 4.00 metres.	The Parish Council has NO OBJECTION to this proposal, subject to their not being any valid objections from neighbours. It is noted that the plans also include full residential conversion of the internal garage (previously part converted without planning permission). A rectangular outline on the proposed block plan, to the east of the existing internal garage, requires clarification. (Sent 23/5/22)

20220460 Permitted	Southleigh Gravesend Road Higham Rochester Kent ME3 7DX	Erection of single storey rear extension.	The Parish Council has NO OBJECTION to this proposal, subject to their not being any valid objections from neighbours. (Sent 23/5/22)
20220481 Refused	7 Vigilant Way Gravesend Kent DA12 4PS	Erection of single storey front extension	The Parish Council has no objection in principle to this extension, provided that there are not any significant valid objections from neighbours. (Sent 23/5/22)